# **Two- Echelon Trade Credit Financing in a Supply Chain** with Weibull Distribution and Exponentially Increasing **Holding Cost**

D.Sharmila and R. Uthayakumar

Department of Mathematics The Gandhigram Rural Institute - Deemed University Gandhigram Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract present In the day, competitive marketplace, offering delay payments, has become a commonly adopted method. Previous inventory models under permissible delay in payments usually assumed thatconstantormerelydependentontheretailingprice. thedemandoftheitemswaseither *Inthispaper, deterministic* inventory model for deteriorating items having stock and time dependent demand under the effects of deterior ation has been studied. At wo parameter Weibull distribution has been used to represent the deterior of the state oforationrate. The present model has beensolvedanalyticallytominimizethetotalcostofthesystem. Thenecessary andsufficientconditionsfortheexistenceanduniquenessoftheoptimalsolutions which could minimize the retailer's total cost per unit time has been discussed. Anumericalexampleisincludedtodemonstratethedevelopedmodelandthe solutionprocedure. To investigate the effect of changes insome main parameters valuesontheoptimalsolution, we conduct as ensitivity analysis and discuss some important managerial insights. SubjectClassificationCode:90B05 Keywords: Inventory, Partial tradecredit, Stock dependent, Weibull distribution Date of Submission: 15-06-2025 Date of acceptance: 30-06-2025

#### I. Introduction

Bothindeterministicandprobabilisticinventorymodelsofclassicaltype, it is observed that payment is made to the supplier immediately after receiving the items.In practice, the supplier will offer he retailer a delay period in paying for the amount of purchaseto increase the demand known as trade credit period.Offering such a credit period to theretailerwillencouragethesupplier'ssellingandreduceonhandstocklevel.Simultaneously, without a primary payment, the retailer can take the advantages of a credit period to reduce cost and increase profit. Thus, the delay in the payment offered by the supplier is a kind of price discount it encourages the retailer to increase their order quantity. Moreover, during this credit period, the retailer can start to accumulate revenues on the sales and earn interest on that revenue.But the higher interest is chargedif the payment is not settled by the end of the credit period.Hence, trade credit canplayanimportant roleininventory modelforboth thesuppliers as wellas the retailers.

To manage the inventory level successfully, the retailer needs to find a balance betweenthecostsandbenefitsofholdingstock.Thecostsofholdingstockincludethemoney has been spent buying the stock as well as storage. The benefits include having enough stock on hand to meet the demand of customers. Having too much stock equals extra expense for the retailer as it can lead to a shortfall in cash flow and incur excess storage costs.Andhavingtoolittlestockequalslostincomeintheformoflostsales,while also confidence undermining customer in retailer's ability to supply the products theretailerclaimstosell.Hencekeepingtherightstockandbeingabletosellitcanlead to increased sales, new customers, increased customer confidence, improved cash flow.

Another class of inventory models have been developed with time-dependent deteriorationrate.TheWeibulldistributionisfrequentlyusedtorepresentthedistribution of time to deterioration of the item.In practice, the deterioration rate of items like fashionablegoods,fooditems,electronics

components, radioactive substance, chemicals and drugs is suitable to express in terms of Weibull distribution.In this connection many researcher attracted their attention towards the Weibull distribution to modelthe inventorv problem.[14]Sharmila and Uthayakumar presented a two parameter Weibull distribution is used to represent the distribution of the time to deterioration.[2] Annadurai and Uthayakumar formulated an inventory two-levels of model under credit policy for deteriorating itemsbyassumingthedemandisafunctionofcreditperiodofferedbytheretailertothe customers.[16]Thangam and Uthayakumar implemented two different payment meth- ods for the retailer to pay off the loan to the supplier under two echelon trade credit scenario.[15]SundaraRajan.R. and Uthayakumar, R. (2015), developed EOQ model for time varying demand and variable holding cost under permissible delay with short- ages.[9]Mary Latha and Uthayakumar developed a time dependent quadratic inventory model for deteriorating items with permissible delay in payments. And offering certain credit period without interest enthuse the consumers to order more quantities, as delayed payment indirectly reduces the purchase cost.[12]Sharmila and Uthayakumar presented fuzzy inventory model for deteriorating items with shortages under fully backloggedcondition.[7]KrishnaandBaniproposedamathematicalmodelofaninventory system in which demand depending upon stock level and time with various degree  $\beta$ , gives more flexibility of the demand pattern and more general to the study done so far with the condition to minimize the total average cost of the system.[3]Annadurai and Uthayakumar formulated EOQ model for deteriorating items with stock dependent demandunderpermissibledelayinpayments. Alsoobjectivetodeterminetheretailer's optimal policy by finding the optimal length of inventory interval with positive inventory and the optimal length of order cycle for minimizing the cost.[13]Sharmila and Uthayakumar perceived that failure rate and life expectancy of many itemscanbeexpressed interms of Weibull distribution.[11]Sharmilaand Uthayakumar examined the partial tradecredit financing in a supply chain by EOQ-based model for decomposing items together with shortages. [5] Fredy and Artur presented an application of the proposed  $\Gamma$ -EW distribution to real data for illustrative purposes. Also considered some sub-models of the new four-parameter  $\Gamma$ -EW distribution to

fit this real data set for the sake of comparison:Weibull distribution, EE distribution, gamma Weibull  $(\Gamma - W)$  distribution, gamma exponentiated exponential  $(\Gamma - EE)$  distribution, and EW distribution.[10]Nita and Ankit derived under assumption of linearly increasing or exponentially increasing demand. However, inmarket of commodities like food grains, fashion appar els, electronic equipment's decreases with time during the end of season. [8] Madhavilata et al. discussed made so far is mainly meant for deter- mining order level inventory for an infinite horizon model when the demand increases exponentially.[6]Karmakar and Choudhury modified the demand rate is any function of time up to the time-point of its stabilization (general ramp-type demand rate), andthe backlogging rate is any non increasing function of waiting time, up to the next replenishment.[4]Avikar et al.presented a production inventory model for deteriorating items with Exponentially increasing demand over a fixed time horizon.[17]Vijay et al.developed a model for the producer by assuming production to be stock dependent andexponentially increasing and demandrate to be linear and quadratic simultaneously with constant deterioration rate and holding cost. [1] A jay and Anupam developed a single item inventory model with constant replenishment rate, exponential demand rate, infinite time horizon, with exponential partial back ordered rate, linearly increasing holding cost in both the warehouses and with the objective of maximizing the present worth of the total system profit.

## II. Notations and Assumptions

Thefollowingnotations and assumptions are used throughout this paper

## 2.1 Notations

- A costofplacingoneorder
- Q theorderquantity
- D annualdemand
- T Thecycletimeinyears
- *t*<sub>1</sub> lengthoftimeinwhichtheinventoryhasnoshortage
- $\theta$  the positive number representing the deterior at ingrate, where  $0 \le \theta \le 1$

 $\delta$  the fraction of the demand during the stock - out period that will be back ordered, where  $0 \leq \delta \leq$ 

- 1 *C* unitpurchasingprice
- $C_1$  shortagecostforbacklogged item

- C<sub>2</sub> unitcostoflostsales
- M theretailer'stradecreditperiodofferedbythesupplierinyears

N the customer's trade credit period offered by the retailer's in years h the inventory holding rate per unit time excluding interest charges  $I_e$  interest which can be earned per \$ per year

- $I_p$  interest charge sper \$instock per year by the supplier
- I(t) the inventory level at time t
- TC thetotalannualcost

## 2.2 Assumptions

- 1. Demandrate is defined as the function of stock and time as  $D(q, t) = a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)$ .
- 2. Shortagesareallowedandcompletelybacklogged.
- 3. Leadtimeisnegligible.
- 4. Replenishmentoccursinstantaneouslyatinfiniterate.
- 5. Theitemsconsidered in this model are deteriorating with time.
- 6. The deteriorating rate is defined as two parameter Weibull distribution  $\theta(t) =$

$$\alpha\beta t^{\beta-1}$$
, where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

7. The holding cost is time dependent and h(t) = fexp(dt) where f and dare positive constant.

8. When  $T \ge M$  the account is settled at t = M and the retailer would pay for the interest charges on items instock with rate  $I_p$  over the interval [M, T]. When  $T \le M$  the account is also settled at t = M and the retailer does not need to pay on the interval [M, T].

any interest charge of items during the whole cycle.

9. The retailer can accumulate revenue and earn interest from the very beginning that his customer pays for the amount of purchasing cost to the retailer until the end of trade credit period offered by the supplier. That is the retailer can accumulate revenue and earn interest

during the period from t = N to t = M with rate  $I_e$  under the condition of trade credit.

10. There is no repair or replacement of deteriorated units during the planning horizon. The item will be withdrawn from warehouse immediately as they become deteriorated.

## **2** ProposedModel

In this section, a mathematical model is developed to determine the optimal replenishment cycle time that minimizes the total annual relevant cost in an inventor system for deterioratingitemsunderpartiallypermissibledelayinpaymentsincludingshortages. Duetoboththedemandanddeteriorationofitem, the inventory level decreases during

the period  $[0, t_1]$  and ultimately falls to zero at  $t=t_1$ . Thereafter, short ages are allowed

tooccurand the demand during the period  $[t_1, T]$  is partially backlogged. The behavior of inventory system at any time is depicted in Fig. 1

## **3** MathematicalFormulation

As described above, the inventory level decreases owing to demand as well as deterioration during the time interval  $[0,t_1]$ . Hence, the differential equation representing the inventory status is given by

$$\frac{dI_1(t)}{dt} = -\theta(t) - D(q,t); 0 \le t \le t_1$$

(1)

withboundarycondition  $I_1(t_1) = 0, I_1(0) = I_{max}$  $\frac{dI_2(t)}{dt} = -a\delta; t_1 \le t \le T$ (2)

 $\frac{dt}{dt} = -av; t_1 \le t \le 1$ withboundary condition  $I_2(t_1) = 0$ 

Using the assumption that D(q, t), the demand function and  $\theta(t)$ , the deterioration rate as a non linear function

$$D(q,t) = a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)$$
 and  $\theta(t) = \alpha\beta t^{\beta-1}$  then (1) and (2) reduces to

$$I_1(t) = a e^{k t^{\beta}} \left[ (t_1 - t) + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} (t_1^{\beta + 1} - t^{\beta + 1}) \right]; \text{ where } k = \frac{\alpha \beta + b}{\beta}$$
(3)



Figure 1: Graphical representation of inventory system

During the shortage interval  $[t_1, T]$  the demand at time t is partially backlogged at the fraction. Thus, the differential equation governing the amount of demand backlogged is as below

$$I_2(t) = -a\delta(t_1 - t) \tag{4}$$

by letting t = T in (4) we can obtain the maximum amount of demand backlogged per cycle as

$$S = -I_2(T) = a\delta(T - t_1) \tag{5}$$

Hence, the order quantity per cycle is given by

$$Q = I_{\max} + S$$
$$= a \left[ t_1 + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \left( t_1^{\beta + 1} \right) \right] + a \delta(T - t_1)$$

Thus, we have

2.

$$I(t) = \begin{cases} l_1(t) & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1 \\ l_2(t) & \text{if} \quad t_1 \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

Next, the expected annual cost of the inventory system for the retailer is computed using the following various components.

ordering  $\cot OC = A$ 1.

Holding cost (excluding interest charges) HC = 
$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} h(t)I_{1}(t)dt$$
  
= $fa\left[\left(t_{1}^{2} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{dt_{1}^{3}}{2} - \frac{dt_{1}^{3}}{3} + \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2}\right) + \frac{k}{\beta+1}\left(t_{1}^{\beta+1}t_{1} - \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+2} + \frac{dt_{1}^{2}t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{2} - \frac{dt_{1}^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} + \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+1}t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3}\right]$   
Deteriorating cost DC =  $C\theta \int_{0}^{t_{1}} I_{1}(t)dt$ 

3. ıg  $J \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \int_0^{\infty} I_1(t) dt$ 

$$= aC\theta \left[ t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \left( t_1^{\beta+2} - \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} big \right) + k \left( \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+1} - \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \right) \right]$$
$$- \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} + \frac{k^2}{\beta+1} \left( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1} - \frac{t_1^{2\beta+2}}{2\beta+2} \right) \right]$$
backlogging SC =  $C_1 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{T} - I_2(t) dt \right]$ 

4. Shortages due to backlogging SC = 
$$C_1 \int_{t_1}^T -I_2(t)dt$$
  
=  $C_1 a \delta \left( t_1 T - \frac{T^2}{2} - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \right)$ 

5. Opportunity cost due to lost sales  $OP = C_2 \int_{t_1}^{t} (1-\delta) dt$ 

$$= C_2(1-\delta)(T-t_1)$$

According to the above assumptions, there are three possible cases to occur in the interest charged and earned in each order cycle and we discuss each case in detail as follows

#### 4.1 Payment Method

In this method, at the end of trade credit(M), the retailer settles the account for all units sold and keeps the profits for other use and starts paying interest charges on the unpaid balance. To calculate interest payable and interest earned by the retailer, we consider the cases

i)  $M \leq T$ ii)  $N \leq T \leq M$ iii)  $T \leq N$ Case(i)  $M \leq T$ Annual interest payable =  $cI_p \int_M^T I_1(t)dt$ 

$$=cI_{p}a\left[\left(t_{1}T - \frac{T^{2}}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1}\left(t_{1}^{\beta+1}T - \frac{T^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2}\right) + k\left(\frac{t_{1}T^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{T^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2}\right) + \frac{k^{2}}{\beta+1}\left(t_{1}^{\beta+1}\frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{T^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3}\right) - \left(t_{1}M - \frac{M^{2}}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1}\left(t_{1}^{\beta+1}M - \frac{M^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2}\right) + k\left(\frac{t_{1}M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{M^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2}\right) + \frac{k^{2}}{\beta+1}\left(t_{1}^{\beta+1}\frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{M^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3}\right)\right)\right]$$
  
$$+ \frac{k^{2}}{\beta+1}\left(t_{1}^{\beta+1}\frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{M^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3}\right)\right)\right]$$
  
(ii)  $N < T < M$ 

Case(ii)  $N \le T \le M$ Annual interest payable = 0

Case(iii)  $T \leq N$ 

Annual interest payable = 0

Similar to interest payable, there are three cases that occur in costs of interest earned per year. Case(i)  $M \leq T$ 

Interest earned

$$= sI_e\left[\alpha \int_0^N \left(a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)dt\right) + \int_N^M \left(a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)dt\right)\right]$$

$$= sI_e \left[ \alpha \left( \alpha \left( \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \right) - b \left( \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right) + \left[ \alpha \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right] \left[ \alpha \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \right] \right) \right]$$
  
$$N \le T \le M$$

Case(ii)  $N \le T \le$ Interest earned

$$\begin{aligned} &= sI_e \left[ \int_0^N \left( a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)dt \right) + \int_N^T \left( a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)dt \right) \right] \\ &= sI_e \left[ \alpha \left( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} + \left( a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \right) - \left( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right) N \\ &\left[ \left( a \left[ \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right] \left( -a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right) T \right) \right] \right] \\ T < N \end{aligned}$$

Case(iii)  $T \leq N$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Interest earned} \\ &= sI_e \left[ \alpha \left( \int_0^T \left( a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)tdt \right) \right) + \alpha \int_T^N \left( a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)Tdt \right) + \int_N^M \left( a + bt^{\beta-1}I(t)Tdt \right) \right] \\ &= sI_e \left[ \alpha \left( a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right) \frac{T^2}{2} + \alpha \left( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} - a \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} - a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right) T + \left( a \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \right) T \right] \end{aligned}$ 

From the above arguments, the annual total relevant cost for the retailer can be expressed as

$$TC(T) = \begin{cases} TC_1(T) & \text{if} \quad M \le T \\ TC_2(T) & \text{if} \quad N \le T \le M \\ TC_3(T) & \text{if} \quad T \le N \end{cases}$$

Where

$$TC_{1}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \left[ OC + HC + DC + SC + OP + IP - IE \right]$$

$$TC_{2}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \left[ OC + HC + DC + SC + OP + IP - IE \right]$$

$$TC_{3}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \left[ OC + HC + DC + SC + OP + IP - IE \right]$$
(9)

Since  $TC_1(M) = TC_2(M)$  and  $TC_2(N) = TC_3(N)$ , TC(T) is continuous and well defined. All  $TC_1(T)$ ,  $TC_2(T)$ ,  $TC_3(T)$  and TC(T) are defined on T > 0. **5. Solution Procedure For Payment Method** 

DOI: 10.35629/8028-1406125149

The optimal cycle time 
$$T_1^*$$
 can be obtained by solving the equation:  

$$\frac{dTC_1}{dT} = 0$$

$$\begin{split} dT &= 0 \\ &-\frac{1}{T^2} \bigg[ A + fa \bigg[ \bigg( t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{dt_1^3}{2} - \frac{dt_1^3}{3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \bigg) \\ &+ \frac{k}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1 - \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+2} + \frac{dt_1^2 t_1^{\beta+1}}{2} - \frac{dt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} \bigg) \bigg] + \\ &a \mathcal{C} \theta \left[ t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+2} - \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} big \bigg) + k \bigg( \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+1} - \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \bigg) + \frac{k^2}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1} - \frac{t_1^{2\beta+2}}{2\beta+2} \bigg) \bigg] + \\ &C_1 a \delta \bigg( t_1 T - \frac{T^2}{2} - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \bigg) + \mathcal{C}_2 (1-\delta) (T-t_1) + cl_p a \bigg[ \bigg( t_1 T - \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} T - \frac{T^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \bigg) \\ &+ k \bigg( \frac{t_1 T^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{T^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \bigg) + \frac{k^2}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \bigg) \\ &- \frac{T^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} \bigg) \bigg) - \bigg( t_1 M - \frac{M^2}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} M - \frac{M^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \bigg) + k \bigg( \frac{t_1 M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{M^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \bigg) \\ &+ \frac{k^2}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{M^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} \bigg) \bigg) \bigg] - sl_e \bigg[ \alpha \bigg( \alpha \bigg( \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \bigg) - b \bigg( \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \bigg) + \bigg[ \alpha \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \bigg] \\ &\bigg[ \alpha \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \bigg] \bigg) \bigg] \bigg] + \frac{1}{T} \bigg[ C_1 a \delta(t_1 - T) + C_2 (1 - \delta) + cl_p a(t_1 - T) + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} - T^{\beta+1} \bigg) + \\ &k (t_1 T^\beta - T^{\beta+1}) + T^{\beta+1} + \frac{k^2}{\beta+1} \bigg( t_1^{\beta+1} T^\beta - T^{\beta+2} \bigg) \bigg] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f_1(t)$  be the function of T which is on the left hand side of equation (10). Let  $\Delta_1 = f_1(M)$ . **Theorem 1**: If  $\Delta_1 < 0$ , then  $T *= T_1^*$  and  $TC * (T) = TC_1(T *)$ . Proof: Taking the first derivative of  $0036f_1(t)$  with respect to T, we get,

$$\begin{split} \frac{df_{1}(T)}{dT} &== \frac{1}{T^{3}} \left[ -\frac{1}{T^{2}} \left[ A + fa \left[ \left( t_{1}^{2} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{dt_{1}^{3}}{2} - \frac{dt_{1}^{3}}{3} + \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \left( t_{1}^{\beta+1}t_{1} - \frac{\beta + 2}{\beta + 2} + \frac{dt_{1}^{2}t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{2} - \frac{dt_{1}^{\beta+3}}{\beta + 3} + \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+1}t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+3}}{\beta + 3} \right) \right] + \\ &a C\theta \left[ t_{1}^{2} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \left( t_{1}^{\beta+2} - \frac{t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} + big \right) + k \left( \frac{t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 1} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} + \frac{k^{2}}{\beta + 1} \left( t_{1}^{\beta+1}t_{1}^{\beta+1} - \frac{t_{1}^{2\beta+2}}{2\beta + 2} \right) \right] + C_{1}a\delta \left( t_{1}T - \frac{T^{2}}{2} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} \right) + \\ &C_{2}(1 - \delta)(T - t_{1}) + cI_{p}a \left[ \left( t_{1}T - \frac{T^{2}}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \left( t_{1}^{\beta+1}T - \frac{T^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \right) + k \left( \frac{t_{1}T^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{T^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{k^{2}}{\beta + 1} \left( t_{1}^{\beta+1} \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{T^{\beta+3}}{\beta + 3} \right) \right) - \left( t_{1}M - \frac{M^{2}}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \left( t_{1}^{\beta+1}M - \frac{M^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \right) \right. \\ &+ k \left( \frac{t_{1}M^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{M^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \right) + \frac{k^{2}}{\beta + 1} \left( t_{1}^{\beta+1} \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{M^{\beta+3}}{\beta + 3} \right) \right) \right] - sI_{e} \left[ a \left( a \left( \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} \right) \right. \\ &- b \left( \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} \right) + \left[ a \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} \right] \left[ a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} \right] \right) \right] \right] \end{split}$$

DOI: 10.35629/8028-1406125149

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{1}{T} \bigg[ C_1 a \delta(t_1 - T) + C_2 (1 - \delta) + c I_p a(t_1 - T) + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \big( t_1^{\beta + 1} - T^{\beta + 1} \big) + k \big( t_1 T^{\beta} \\ &- T^{\beta + 1} \big) + T^{\beta + 1} + \frac{k^2}{\beta + 1} \big( t_1^{\beta + 1} T^{\beta} - T^{\beta + 2} \big) \bigg] \bigg] - \frac{1}{T^2} \Big[ [C_1 a \delta(t_1 - T) + C_2 (1 - \delta) + \\ &c I_p a(t_1 - T) + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \big( t_1^{\beta + 1} - T^{\beta + 1} \big) + k \big( t_1 T^{\beta} - T^{\beta + 1} \big) + T^{\beta + 1} + \frac{k^2}{\beta + 1} \big( t_1^{\beta + 1} T^{\beta} - T^{\beta + 2} \big) \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{1}{T} \bigg( C_1 a \delta + c I_p a \left( 1 + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \big( -\beta + 1 T^{\beta} \big) + k \big( t_1 \beta T^{\beta - 1} - \beta + 1 T^{\beta} \big) \right) \\ &+ \frac{k^2}{\beta + 1} \big( \beta t_1^{\beta + 1} T^{\beta - 1} - (\beta + 2) T^{\beta + 1} \big) \bigg) \bigg] > 0 \text{ for } T > M \end{split}$$

Thus,  $f_1(T)$  is strictly increasing function of T in the interval  $[M, \infty)$ . Moreover, we know that

Since  $\Delta_1 < 0$ ,  $f_1(M) < 0$  and  $\lim_{T \to \infty} f_1(T) > 0$  by applying intermediate value theorem, there exists a unique  $T_{1^*} \in [M, \infty)$  such that  $f_1(T_{1^*}) = 0$ . It is easy to verify that  $\frac{d^2TC_1(T)}{dTT^2} > 0$  at  $T = T_{1^*}$ 

$$\frac{dT^2 T C_1(T)}{dT^2} > 0 \text{ at } T = T_{1^*}$$

Thus,  $T_1 * \in [M, \infty]$  is a unique optimum solution to TC(T)

After obtaining the first order derivatives of  $TC_2(T)$  and  $TC_3(T)$ , the optimal replenishmenttime  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ aretherootsoftheequations.

$$\begin{split} 0 &= -\frac{1}{T^2} \Biggl[ A + fa \Biggl[ \Biggl( t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{dt_1^3}{2} - \frac{dt_1^3}{3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{k}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1 - \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+2} + \frac{dt_1^2 t_1^{\beta+1}}{2} - \frac{dt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} \Biggr) \Biggr] + \\ & a \mathcal{C} \theta \Biggl[ t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+2} - \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + k \Biggl( \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+1} \Biggr) \Biggr] + \\ &- \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) + \frac{k^2}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1} - \frac{t_1^{2\beta+2}}{2\beta+2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + C_1 a \delta \Biggl( t_1 T - \frac{T^2}{2} - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \Biggr) + C_2 (1-\delta) (T-t_1) \Biggr) \\ &- s I_e \Biggl[ a \Biggl( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr) \Biggr] + \Biggl( a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) T \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr] \\ &+ \Biggl[ \Biggl( a \Biggl[ \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \Biggl] \Biggl( -a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) T \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr] \\ &+ \Biggl[ \Biggl( a \Biggl[ a \delta (t_1 - T) + C_2 (1-\delta) - s I_e \Biggl[ \Biggl( a kT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr) N - \Biggl( a kT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr) T \Biggr] \Biggr] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} 0 &= -\frac{1}{T^2} \Biggl[ A + fa \Biggl[ \Biggl( t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{dt_1^3}{2} - \frac{dt_1^3}{3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{k}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1 - \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+2} + \frac{dt_1^2 t_1^{\beta+1}}{2} - \frac{dt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} \Biggr) \Biggr] + \\ & aC\theta \Biggl[ t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+2} - \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + k \Biggl( \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+1} \Biggr) \\ &- \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) + \frac{k^2}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1} - \frac{t_1^{2\beta+2}}{2\beta+2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + C_1 a\delta \Biggl( t_1 T - \frac{T^2}{2} - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \Biggr) + C_2 (1-\delta) (T-t_1) \\ &- sI_e \Biggl[ a \Biggl( a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \frac{T^2}{2} + a \Biggl( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \\ &- a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) T + \Biggl( a \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) T \Biggr] \\ &+ \frac{1}{T} \Biggl( C_1 a\delta(t_1 - T) + C_2 (1-\delta) - sI_e \Biggl( \Biggl[ akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr] a \frac{T^2}{2} - \Biggl[ \frac{akT^{\beta}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr] aT \\ &- \Biggl[ aTakT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{(\beta)} - \Biggl( \frac{akT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \Biggr] + a \Biggl( \frac{akN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bN^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) + \\ & \Biggl( \frac{akM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \Biggr) - \Biggl( \frac{akN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bN^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \Biggr) \Biggr) \Biggr] \end{aligned}$$

(13) respectively. Let  $f_2(T)$  and  $f_3(T)$  be the function in the left hand side of equations (12) and (13), respectively. Then,

$$\begin{split} \frac{df_2(T)}{dT} &= \frac{1}{T^3} \Biggl[ A + fa \Biggl[ \Biggl( t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{dt_1^3}{2} - \frac{dt_1^3}{3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1 - \frac{\beta + 2}{\beta + 2} + \frac{dt_1^2 t_1^{\beta+1}}{2} - \frac{dt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta + 3} + \frac{kt_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{kt_1^{\beta+3}}{\beta + 3} \Biggr) \Biggr] + \\ &aC\theta \Biggl[ t_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta + 1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+2} - \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + k \Biggl( \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 1} \Biggr) \\ &- \frac{t_1^{\beta+2}}{\beta + 2} \Biggr) + \frac{k^2}{\beta + 1} \Biggl( t_1^{\beta+1} t_1^{\beta+1} - \frac{t_1^{2\beta+2}}{2\beta + 2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + C_1 a\delta \Biggl( t_1 T - \frac{T^2}{2} - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \Biggr) + C_2 (1 - \delta) (T - t_1) \\ &- sI_e \Biggl[ a \Biggl( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr) \Biggr] + 2 \Biggr( a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} \Biggr) \\ &- \Biggl( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} \Biggr) \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr) \Biggr] - \frac{1}{T^2} \Biggl( C_1 a\delta(t_1 - T) + C_2 (1 - \delta) - sI_e \Biggl[ \Biggl( akT^\beta - \frac{bT^\beta}{\beta} \Biggr) \Biggr) \Biggr) \\ &- \Biggl( akT^\beta - \frac{bT^\beta}{\beta} \Biggr) T - \Biggl( \frac{akT^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr) \Biggr] + \frac{1}{T} \Biggl( C_1 a\delta(t_1 - T) + C_2 (1 - \delta) \Biggr) \\ &- sI_e \Biggl[ a \Biggl( akT^\beta - \frac{bT^\beta}{\beta} \Biggr) N - \Biggl( akT^\beta - \frac{bT^\beta}{\beta} \Biggr) T - \Biggl( \frac{akT^{\beta+1}}{\beta + 1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta + 1)} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr] \Biggr] \\ + \frac{1}{T} \Biggl[ C_1 a\delta - sI_e \Biggl[ (ak\betaT^{\beta-1} - bT^{\beta-1})N - (ak\betaT^{\beta-1} - bT^{\beta-1})T - \Biggl( akT^\beta - \frac{bT^\beta}{\beta} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr]$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{df_{2}(T)}{dT} &= \frac{1}{T^{3}} \Biggl[ \Biggl[ A + fa \Biggl[ \Biggl( t_{1}^{2} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{dt_{2}^{3}}{2} - \frac{dt_{1}^{3}}{3} + \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{k}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_{1}^{\beta+1} t_{1} - \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+2} + \frac{dt_{1}^{2}t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{2} - \frac{dt_{1}^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} + \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+1}t_{1}^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{kt_{1}^{\beta+3}}{\beta+3} \Biggr) \Biggr] + aC\theta \Biggl[ t_{1}^{2} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} + \frac{k}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_{1}^{\beta+1} t_{1} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + k \Biggl( \frac{t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+1} - \frac{t_{1}^{\beta+2}}{\beta+2} \Biggr) + \frac{k^{2}}{\beta+1} \Biggl( t_{1}^{\beta+1} t_{1}^{\beta+1} - \frac{t_{2}^{2}\beta+2}{2\beta+2} \Biggr) \Biggr] + \\ C_{1}a\delta \Biggl( t_{1}T - \frac{T^{2}}{2} - \frac{t_{2}^{2}}{2} \Biggr) + C_{2}(1 - \delta) (T - t_{1}) - SI_{e} \Biggl[ a \Biggl( a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \frac{T^{2}}{T} + \\ a \Biggl( a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} - b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} - a \frac{kT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + b \frac{T^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) T + \Biggl( a \frac{kM^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - b \frac{M^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - a \frac{kN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \Biggr) \Biggr] \\ + b \frac{N^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) T \Biggr] - \frac{1}{T^{2}} \Biggl( C_{1}a\delta(t_{1} - T) + C_{2}(1 - \delta) - SI_{e} \Biggl( \Biggl[ akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr) \Biggr] a \frac{T^{2}}{2} - \\ \Biggl[ \frac{akT^{\beta}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr] aT - \Biggl[ aTakT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr) - \Biggl( \frac{akN^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bN^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr] \Biggr] \\ - \frac{1}{T^{2}} \Biggl( C_{1}a\delta(t_{1} - T) + C_{2}(1 - \delta) - SI_{e} \Biggl( \Biggl[ akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr) \Biggr] a \frac{T^{2}}{2} - \Biggl[ \frac{akT^{\beta}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr] aT \\ - \Biggl[ aTakT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{(\beta)} - \Biggl( \frac{akT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \Biggr] + a \Biggl( \frac{akN^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr) \Biggr) \Biggr) \\ + \frac{1}{T} \Biggl( C_{1}a\delta - SI_{e} \Biggl( a \Biggl[ a \Biggl\{ BT^{\beta-1} - bT^{\beta-1} \Biggr] \Biggr] T^{2} \Biggr) + a \Biggl( a \Biggl\{ AkT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr) T + a \Biggl[ \frac{akT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta(\beta+1)} \Biggr] aT \\ - \Biggl[ aTakT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr] T + a \Biggl[ - akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr] + \Biggl( akBT^{\beta-1} - bT^{\beta-1} \Biggr] Ta \Biggr( a \Biggl\{ AkT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr) \Biggr] + a \Biggl\{ akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr] T + a \Biggl[ - akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \Biggr] \Biggr\} \bigg\} \\ + \frac{a \Biggl\{ akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr] T + a \Biggl\{ - akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} \Biggr] + a \Biggl\{ akT^{\beta} - \frac{bT^{\beta}}{\beta} \Biggr\} \Biggr\} \bigg\} \bigg\} \bigg\} \bigg\}$$

consequently,  $f_i(T)(i = 1,2,3)$  is also increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . From  $f_i(0) < 0$ , (i = 1,2,3) and  $\lim_{T \to \infty} f(T) = \infty > 0$ , (i = 1,2,3) by intermediate value theorem we see that  $f_i(T)$  is decreasing on  $[0, T_i *]$  and increasing on  $(T_i *, \infty)$ . Hence  $TC_i(T)$ ; (i = 1,2,3) is convex on T > 0. Furthermore, we have  $TC_1'(M) = TC_2'(M)$  and  $TC_2'(N) = TC_3'(N)$ . Hence TC(T) is convex on T > 0. We, have,

$$\begin{split} TC_1'(M) &= \frac{f_1(M)}{M^2} \\ TC_2'(M) &= \frac{f_2(M)}{M^2} \\ TC_2'(N) &= \frac{f_2(N)}{N^2} \\ TC_3'(N) &= \frac{f_3(N)}{N^2} \end{split}$$

Let  $\Delta_2 = f_2(N) = f_3(N)$ . Clearly  $\Delta_1 \ge \Delta_2$ . 6. Numerical examples and sensitivity analysis

To illustrate the solution procedure and investigate the sensitivity analysis on optimal solution in our model, we consider the following examples

Given *A* = 200/units, s = 2/units, c =  $0.50/unit, I_p = 0.14, I_e = 0.13, M = 2years, \theta = 0.2, \alpha = 0.05, N = 2years, a = 100units, b = 0.30units, f = 15, d = 8, \delta = 0.23, C = 30, C_1 = 0.021, C_2 = 0.9785$ 

 $TC_1 = 1019.5, TC_2 = 836.0634, TC_3 = 854.1909, Q = 61.9049$ 

## 6.1 Sensitivity Analysis

Let us consider the same data as in example. Here, we study the effects of changes in the values, on optimal cycle and minimum total cost.

		Table 1:	Sensitivity	modemorm	ventorymc	derparame	ters
	Α	$t_1$	Т	$TC_1$	$TC_2$	$TC_3$	Q
	230	0.2921	0.3148	1981.7	1738.2	1631.0	47.6239
	240	0.2922	0.3150	2013.2	1769.8	1662.8	47.6454
	250	0.2925	0.3151	2047.2	1803.9	1697.0	47.6984
	260	0.2941	0.3158	2089.7	1847.2	1740.8	47.9850
	270	0.2982	0.3162	2158.9	1917.5	1811.4	48.6892
_		1			1		
	δ	$t_1$	Т	$TC_1$	$TC_2$	$TC_3$	Q
Ī	0.55	0.2925	0.3150	1889.1	1645.7	1538.7	48.4161
	0.65	0.2924	0.3150	1888.1	1644.8	1537.8	48.6284
	0.75	0.2923	0.3151	1886.5	1643.2	1536.3	48.8502
	0.85	0.2922	0.3152	1885.0	1641.7	1534.9	49.0760
	0.95	0.2921	0.3151	1884.6	1641.3	1534.4	49.2868
	$\theta$	$t_1$	Т	$TC_1$	$TC_2$	$TC_3$	Q
	0.01	0.2925	0.3152	1657.6	1414.3	1307.5	47.7007
	0.03	0.2924	0.3151	1681.5	1438.2	1331.3	47.6815
	0.05	0.2923	0.3148	1706.6	1463.1	1355.9	47.6577
	0.07	0.2918	0.3135	1733.8	1489.4	1381.3	47.5434
	0.09	0.2905	0.3129	1750.4	1505.3	1396.7	47.3102
_							
	α	$t_1$	Т	$TC_1$	$TC_2$	$TC_3$	Q
	0.06	0.2934	0.3165	1897.7	1650.1	1545.7	48.0946
	0.04	0.2931	0.3156	1882.3	1644.9	1536.9	48.5997
	0.03	0.2924	0.3151	1869.8	1637.2n	1527.5	47.2599
	0.02	0.2913	0.3142	1885.9	1627.8	1516.2	46.8462
	0.01	0.2901	0.3139	1837.8	1614.5	1501.4	46.4325
_							
L	β	$t_1$	Т	$TC_1$	$TC_2$	$TC_3$	Q
l	0.10	0.2938	0.3166	10165	9613.5	9252.9	136.2395
	0.15	0.2931	0.3157	5845.7	5431.9	5175.1	100.5021
	0.20	0.2924	0.3151	4144.5	3795.1	3590.9	82.1613
	0.25	0.2918	0.3145	3273.8	2961.5	2788.8	70.8794
	0.30	0.2904	0.3137	2744.4	2455.2	2303.5	62.9757

 Table 1:Sensitivitymodelforinventorymodelparameters

Two- Echelon Trade Credit Financing in a Supply Chain with

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
0.10         0.2926         0.3154         1896.7         1664.6         1582.5         47.722           0.11         0.2925         0.3152         1889.9         1658.0         1567.6         47.700
0.10         0.2926         0.3154         1896.7         1664.6         1582.5         47.722           0.11         0.2925         0.3152         1889.9         1658.0         1567.6         47.700
0.11 0.2925 0.3152 1889.9 1658.0 1567.6 47.700
0.12 0.2924 0.3151 1888.5 1650.9 1552.2 47.681
0.13 0.2913 0.3134 1887.1 1642.5 1534.4 47.456
0.14 0.2901 0.3102 1893.9 1641.4 1522.2 47.180
$I_p$ $t_1$ $T$ $TC_1$ $TC_2$ $TC_3$ $Q$
0.13 0.2931 0.3155 1879.8 1649.0 1542.7 47.809
0.14 0.2926 0.3152 1888.8 1645.6 1538.8 47.717
0.15 0.2924 0.3151 1899.6 1644.2 1537.3 47.681
0.16 0.2915 0.3148 1904.9 1637.0 1529.9 47.522
0.17 0.2901 0.3142 1907.4 1626.5 1518.9 47.272



Figure 2: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $T, t_1$ 



Figure 3: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $T, t_1$ 



Figure 4: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $T, t_1$ 



Figure 5: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $\delta$ 



Figure 6: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $\delta$ 



Figure 7: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $\delta$ 



Figure 8: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 9: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 10: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 8: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 9: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 10: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



**Figure 11:** Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



**Figure 12:** Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 







Figure 13: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 14: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 15: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 16: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 17: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 18: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 19: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 20: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 21: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 22: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 23: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 24: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 25: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 26: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_1, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 27: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_2, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 



Figure 28: Variation of optimal cost  $TC_3, Q$  with respect to  $t_1$ 

## 7. ManagerialImplication

1. Theretailershouldordermarginallylessorderquantitywhentradecreditperiod

(M) increases. Actually, as Mincreases or derquantity should also be increased. But the optimal cycletime falls in the interval  $M \leq T$ . so after, M, the retailer has to pay interest charges for the stock. Hence he wants to reduce marginally the ordering quantity.

- 2. Theretailerprefersnottoincreasethecustomer'stradecreditperiod.
- 3. Highervaluesofinterestpayableimplieshighertotalcost.
- 4. Highervaluesofinterestearnedimplieslowertotalcost.

### 8. Conclusion

The present paper developed two echelon trade credit financing in a supply chain with Weibulldistributionand exponentially increasing holding cost. We developed different EOQ inventory model with perishable items under the condition that  $M \ge N$ . Numerical examples are given to illustrate the model. Sensitivity analysis for the effects of the

parametersonthedecisionsarealsooffered.Toarchiveoptimizedtradecreditpolicies, which is helpful for the supply chain, the supplier should share additional profits to encourage the retailer to cooperate.

In future research, our model can be extended in several ways.One can extend the model for two types of payment method, varying deterioration rate, selling price de- pendent demand or inventory level dependent demand, quantity discount, time-valueofmoneyandinflationsandsoon.Additionally,thisworkcanbeextendedfordemand as a function of price, quantity and time varying.

#### Acknowledgment

Theauthorgreatlyappreciatetheeditorandtheanonymousreviewersfortheirinsightful and constructive comments and helpful suggestions, which have led to a significant improvementintheearlierversionofthemanuscript.Besteffortshavebeenmadeby

theauthorstorevisethepaperabidingbytheconstructivecommentsofthereferees, and all their suggestions were incorporated directly into the text. This research was fullysupported by National Board for Higher Mathematics, Government of Indiaunder the scheme of NBHM research project with 2/48(9)/2013/NBHM(R.P)/R&D II /Dated 16.01.2014.

#### References

- [1] S.Y.Ajay and S.Anupam, A Two-Warehouse Inventory Model for Decaying Items with Exponential Demand and Variable Holding Cost, *International Journal of Inventive Engineering and Sciences (IJIES)*, **1**(2013), 18-22.
- K.AnnaduraiandR.Uthayakumar, AnInventoryModelforDeterioratingItems with Credit Period Dependent Demand Under Two -Level Trade Credit Financ- ing. Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mathematics, 3(2011), 24-46.
- [3] K.AnnaduraiandR.Uthayakumar,Decayinginventorymodelwithstockdepen-
- dentdemandandshortagesundertwoleveltradecredit, The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 77 (2015), 525-543.
- [4] Avikar,K.T.RamandS.Abhilash,OptimalInventoryManagamentforExpo- nentially Increasing Demand with Finite Rate of Production and Deteriora- tion,*International Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, **2** (2011),357-360.
- [5] C.FredyandJ.L.Artur, AnewgeneralizedWeibulldistributiongener- ated by gamma random variables, *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, 23(2015), 382-390.
- [6] B.KarmakarandK.D.Choudhury,InventoryModelsWithRamp-TypeDemand For Deteriorating Items with Partial Backlogging and Time-Varying Holding Cost,*YugoslavJournalofOperationsResearch*,**24**(2013),249-266.
- [7] P. Krishna and M. Bani, Optimal inventory model under stock and time de- pendent demand for time varying deterioration rate with shortages, *Annals of OperationsResearch*, **243**(2016), 323-334.
- [8] M.K.Madhavilata,K.SrinivasaRaoandV.Ravindranath,AnOrderLevel Inventory Model under L2- System with Exponentially Increasing De- mand,International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA),1 (2010),1744-1750.
- K.F.Mary Latha and R.Uthayakumar, An inventory model for increasing demandwith probabilistic deterioration, permissible delay and partial backlogging, *Inter- national Journal of Information and Management Science*, 25(2014),297-316.
- [10] H.S.NitaandS.A.Ankit,ATimeDependentDeterioratingOrderLevelInven-
- toryModelforExponentiallyDecliningDemand, AppliedMathematicalSciences, 2(2008), 2795 2802.
- [11] D.Sharmila and R.Uthayakumar, Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Quadratic Demand, Partial Backlogging and Partial Trade Credit, *Operations Re- searchandApplications:AnInternationalJournal(ORAJ)*, **2**(2015),51-70.
- [12] D. Sharmila and R. Uthayakumar, Inventory model for deteriorating items in-volving fuzzy with shortages and exponential demand, *International Journal of OperationsManagement*, 2(2015), 888-904.
- [13] D.Sharmila and R. Uthayakumar, An Inventory Model with Three Rates of Pro- duction Rate under Stock and Time Dependent Demand for Time Varying De- terioration Rate with Shortages, International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS), 2(2016), 1595-1602.
- [14] D.Sharmila and R.Uthayakumar,Optimal Inventory Model for DeterioratingProducts with Weibull Distribution Deterioration, Stock and Time-Dependent Demand, Time-Varying Holding Cost Under Fully Backlogging,CommunicationsinAppliedAnalysis,**20**(2016),277-290.
- [15] R.SundaraRajanandR.Uthayakumar,EOQmodelfortimedependentdemand

- andexponentiallyincreasingholdingcostunderpermissibledelayinpayment withcompletebacklogging,*InternationalJournalofAppliedandComputational Mathematics*,**1**(2015),1-17. A. Thangam and R. Uthayakumar,Two-echlon trade credit financing in a supply chain with perishable items and two different payment methods, *International JournalofOperationalResearch*, **11**(2011), 365-380. S.R. Vijay,K. Yogesh, K.V.Amit and K.Ranjeet,Linear and Quadratic Simulta- neous Demand Rate Model with Production Exponentially Increasing and Infla- tion,IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM),**12**(2016),04-09. [16]
- [17]