Investigating the Mediating Effect of Customer Satisfaction in the Service Quality–Word of Mouth relationship

Asaad Aljumaa

Marketing and International Trade Department Higher Institute of Business Administration Syria –Damascus

ABSTRACT: This article aims to elaborate on the concepts of service quality, customer satisfaction and Word of mouth and their relationshipswith the goal of establishing greater clarity on the path of relationship flow in the health care industry. The authors use multiple regression analysis to test the proposed causal relationships. The results Shows that service quality have a direct positive effect on word of mouth and indirect effect through customer satisfaction. The study establishes customersatisfaction as a mediator in a service quality- word of mouth relationship in the context of the health care industry in Syria.

KEYWORDS: Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, Customer Loyalty, Health care Industry in Syria.

I. INTRODUCTION:

Human life today is longer andhealthier than ever before in the history of the world. Man cannot liveforever, nor can he enjoy perfecthealth always, but in both life andhealth every normal person would likehis full share.Illness retards andhampers industrial productionundermines morale, and places anenormous burden of medical care upona weakened populace.Therefore, hospitals are major socialinstitutions for delivering ofadvantage to both patient and society.It is considered to be a place for thediagnosis and treatment of humanillnesses and restoration of health andwellbeing to those who aretemporarily deprived of good health.

2.1-Service quality:

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

The issue of defining, measuring, monitoring and improving the quality of health care has been addressed from Hippocrates toFlorenceNightingale; and professional providers have been concerned with the quality of the care they deliver (Morris & Bell, 1995). Service quality has received a great deal of attentionin the marketing literature (Patrick, 1998).Perceived service quality is defined in the Gaps Model as the difference between consumer expectations and perceptions, (Zeithaml, Berry, &.Parasuraman1988).Service quality as perceived bycustomers, involves a comparison of what they feel that the service should be [expectations, E] with their judgment of the services they received [perceptions, P](Parasuraman, Zeithaml& Berry 1985; Sahney, Karunes&Banwet. 2001). In essence Zeithaml and Bitner (2000) define perceived service quality as a global judgment or attitude relating to thesuperiority of a service. (liwei mai,2005) examined the differences in the perception of education quality, and the mainfactor affecting that perception, between students in the USA And the UK, he found that there are significant differences between British and American education perceived by students. Although students in both countries are satisfied with the education, students in the US expressed higher levels of satisfaction compared with those in the UK, while (Alves&Raposo,2007) concluded the influence of quality perceived on satisfaction is higher on the part of functional quality, These results could be related to the fact of the healthcare service being too important to the life of a patients, causing them tobase their evaluations not only on the way the service is provided.(Husain.et al, 2009) show that physical environment, interaction and support, feedback and assessment, and administration, are strong factors which result in students satisfaction, the servicequality and perceived value have positive effect on student satisfaction according to (Ismail&Parasurman,2009), this leads to H1.

H1: service quality has a positive effect on Customer satisfaction.

2.2 Customer Satisfaction:

In recent years, there is more emphasis on research in the area of consumer satisfaction and dissatisfaction by marketingprofessionals.Satisfaction has been conceptualized in severalways (Srinivasan and Kotadia, 1997). However, it is Oliver (1996, p. 12)who brought the quintessence of allthe definitions on satisfaction, existing in the satisfaction literature by stating,

"satisfaction is the consumer's fulfillment response. It is a judgment that a productor service feature, or the product or serviceitself, provided (or is providing) apleasurable level of consumption-relatedfulfillment, including levels of under-orover fulfillment".Satisfaction is both a cognitive and an affective evaluation of the service experience (Mano & Oliver, 1993; and Westbrook, 1987). Satisfaction literature also highlights different types of satisfaction experienced by the consumer.For example, Saklani, Purohit and Badoni (2000) mention"complete satisfaction" experienced by the consumer, in terms of a product or service, and they define it as astate that a consumer finds himself in, after a consumption experience relating to a service, when all the expectations and needs from the same, whether conscious or unconscious, have beenmet and the consumer desires nothingmore from the service.

Thisexperienced state, they term as "joy."This (complete) state of satisfaction, they opine, is certainly higher than that obtained when a consumer is just satisfied, and, it is posited that, it is likely to lead to repeat buying and a possible loyalty.Some researchers (Gabbott& Hogg,1998) suggest that customer satisfaction is antecedent to servicequality, which is seen as a metaconcept encompassing a general viewof a service, that is, an accumulationof satisfying or dissatisfying experiences create an overallassessment of service quality (Bitner1990; Bolton & Drew 1991; Zeithaml, Berry, &Parasuraman 1988).Satisfaction and quality are considered distinct constructs, but they are undoubtedly related. For instance, Bitner and Hubbert (1995) distinguish the differences between various hierarchical levels of satisfaction, which are related to a quality judgment(Gabbott& Hogg, 1998).

They suggest encounter satisfaction," which is satisfaction that consumers experience with a particular service incident and overall satisfaction with the service.Provider, is dependent on the number of service encounters with different parts of the organization or different employees within the organization over multiple service experiences. Satisfiedcustomers can increase profitability by providing new referrals through positive word of mouth (Mooradianand Olvier, 1997), they would act as unpaid ambassadors of the service provider's business (Brahme, 2000-2001).

this leads to H2:

H2:Customer satisfaction have a positive on Word of mouth

2.3 Word-of-Mouth:

Consumers engage in positive word of mouth behavior as well as seek positive word-of-mouth opinion on their choice of service provider. For example, unlike consumers of othercommodities, those seeking medicalcare are constrained by their lack ofknowledge of the choices available and are dependent on professional expertise, especially in life-threatening situations (Calnan, 1995). Therefore, itcan be posited that hospital consumersmay depend more on others seeking positive word of mouth opinion ontheir choice of hospital and its services.

Bowman and Narayandas(2001, p. 296) in their study, defined word of mouth as "whether customers tell anyone about their experience and forhow many people are told if a customer engages in WOM behavior". This method has a drawback that, some customers who could not provide an exact number of people told, responded with the phrase "a lot." Also, the ability to provide an accuratevalue for the number of people told might decline over a period of time. Therefore, in this present research the hospital consumers were asked about their potential future intention for a positive word-of-mouth intention with a three-items scale. That leads to H3

H3: service quality has a positive on word of mouth.

III. METHOLOGY:

3.1 The Model:

The model to be tested (Figure 1) results from the hypotheses previously:

Figure (1)Conceptual model to be tested



3.2.Sample's Definition:

Having defined the patients as the most important customer of the health care service, in order to test theproposed model it was necessary to select a sample of patients in "Dar Al Shifa" Hospitalin Damascus city in Syria. From the total number of 200 questionnaires distributed 170 were returned the response rate was about86%, profile of respondents shown in Table (1).

Table1: profile of respondents

		Ν	%	
Gender	Male	91	53.5	
	Female	79	46.4	
	Total	170	100.0	
Marital status	Single	161	94.7	
	Married	9	5.3	
	Total	170	100.0	
Age	<20	46	12.4	
	20-25	103	60.6	
	>25	21	12.4	
	Total	170	100.0	
per capita income (SP)	None	56	32.9	
• •	<10000	43	25.3	
	10000-20000	39	18.8	
	>40000	39	22.9	
	Total	170	100.0	
Education level	Under graduate	126	126	
	Post graduate	44	44	
	Total	170	100.0	

3.3Method of Data Obtainment:

Given the intended objectives expected to be reached with this research, a survey using questionnaires was the chosen way for gathering data, thus, a questionnaire subdivided in 4 parts was drawn up: Sample characterization, Service quality, customer satisfaction, and Word of mouth. All measures used a seven-point Likert-type response format, with "strongly disagree" and "Strongly agree" as the anchors, perceived quality was measured using measurement Scale by twenty seven items adapted from (Taylor1, 2001). Customer satisfaction was measured by using a measurement by three items was used by (Matzler. et al, 2005). Word of mouth was assessed by three items adapted from (Mendez, etal, 2009).

3.4. Analysis of Result:

Following the two stage modeling strategy and after confirming the acceptability of the measurementmodel, there then proceeded an estimation of the structural model.

Table II. Construct Reliability

Construct	Item number	Reliability
Service quality	27	0.88
Satisfaction	3	0.82
Word of mouth	3	0.70

Table II presents the composed reliability of each of these constructs, that is the level of internal consistency for each construct, As can be observed, all constructs exceed the minimum reliability level of (0.6) recommended by (Mallhotra&Briks,2010).

Structural equations	R2	Т	Sig	Result
Service qualitySatisfaction	0.50	7.089	0,005	Supported
Satisfaction WOM	0.35	4.737	0,004	Supported
Service qualityWOM	0.38	4.802	0,004	Supported

Table III. Model structural equation

In turn, Table III presents the various structural equations, as well as the determination coefficient (R 2) for each equation. From analysis of the determination coefficients of the various structural equations present in Table III, it was found that service quality has a positive direct effect on Word of mouth (0.38), and indirectpositive effect through satisfaction (0.43), table III shows that Satisfaction has a positive direct effect on customer satisfaction (0.050).

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS:

This study demonstrated that service quality has a direct positive effect on word of mouth and indirect effect through customer satisfaction, This means that customer satisfaction plays an important role in keeping the customers and reduce the rates of Switching, so the healthcare organization in Syria wishing to achieve competitive advantage through customer satisfaction must be focus on the determinants of patients satisfaction such as service quality by narrowing the gap between the expectations of the patients and perception. In this way, this research contributes towards deepening the knowledge about customer satisfaction and its importance for healthcare institutions in retaining current customers and attracting new ones.

V. RESEARCH LIMITATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH:

In this paper, the effect of price has not been studied as determine of customer t satisfaction, so a future area must search in the role of price and other determinants such as expectation and past experience, and should extend this work to include the comparison between the level of student satisfaction at several.

REFERENCESS:

- Alves, H., and Raposo, M.(2007), "Conceptual Model of Student Satisfaction in Higher Education", Total Quality Management, Vol. 18, No. 5, PP. 571-588.
- [2] Brahme, Arvind (2000-2001). "Customer Complaints," Vinimaya, 31 (2), 13-21.
- Bitner, Mary Jo (1990). "Evaluating Service Encounters: The Effects of Physical Surroundings and Employeeresponses," Journal of Marketing, 54(April), 69-82.
- [4] Bitner, M. J., and Hubbert, A. (1995)."Encounter Satisfaction vs Overall Satisfaction vs Quality: The Customer's Voice," In Rust, R., & Oliver, R. (Eds.), Service Quality: New Directions in theory and Practice. Sage, London.
- Bolton, Ruth N. and Drew, James H. (1991). "A Longitudinal Analysis of the Impact of Service Changes on Customer Attitudes," Journal ofMarketing, 55 (January), 1-9.
- [6] Bowman, Douglas, and Narayandas, Das(2001). "Managing Customer- Initiated Contacts with Manufacturers: The Impact on Share of Category Requirements and Wordof- Mouth Behavior," Journal of Marketing Research, XXXVIII, 281-297.
- [7] Calnan, Micheal (1995). Patients as Consumers. In John J. Glynn andDavid A. Perkins (Eds.), Managing Health Care- Challenges for the 90's(pp.119-144). W B Saunders Company Ltd, London.
- [8] Gabbott, Mark., and Hogg, Gillian (1998). Consumers and Services, John Wiley& Sons, England.
- [9] Husain, F, and others. (2009), "Education Service Delivery and Students' Satisfaction: A Study of Private Colleges in Malaysia" Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal, Vol. 1, No. 1, PP. 64-72.
- [10] Ismail. A, M., Parasuraman. B. (2009) "Effect of Service Quality and Perceive Value on Customer Satisfaction" International Journal of Management Perspective.
- [11] Li-Wei, M. (2005) "A Comparative Study Between UK and US: Student Satisfaction in Higher Education and Its Influential Factors" Journal of Marketing Management, Vol. 21, No. 2, PP. 859-878.
- [12] Mallhotra, K., Briks, D. (2010), "Marketing Research: an Applied Approach". Milan, Italy, Prentice-Hall.
- [13] Mano, Haim and Oliver, Richard L. (1993). "Assessing the Dimensionality and Structure of the ConsumptionExperience," Journal of Consumer Research. 20, 451-66.
- [14] Me'Ndez. J.,and others. (2009) "Determinants of Student Loyalty in Higher Education: ATested Relationship Approach in Latin America", Latin American Business Review, Vol.10, No. 2, PP. 21-37.

- [15] Mooradian, Todd A. and Olver, James M. (1997). "I Can't Get No Satisfaction': The Impact of Personality and Emotion on PostpurchaseProcesses," Psychology & Marketing. 14 (4), 379-93.
- [16] Morris, Barbara and Bell, Louise (1995). Quality in Healthcare. In John J. Glynn and David A. Perkins (Eds.), Managing Health Care- Challenges for the 90's (pp.119-144). W B Saunders Company Ltd, London.
- [17]
- Oliver, Richard L. (1996). Satisfaction: A Behavioral Perspective on theConsumer. McGraw-Hill, New York. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml V.A., and Berry L.L. (1985). "A Conceptual Model of Service Quality and its Implications for Future [18] Research," Journal of Marketing, 49 (Fall), 41-50.
- Patrick, Michelle Lynn (1998). The Measurement and Understanding of the Zone of Tolerance in Expected Service Quality: An Application in the Tourism Industry (Airline Industry, Hospitality Industry). (Doctoral dissertation, Kent State University). [19] Dissertation AbstractsInternational-A, 58 (12), 4932. (UMI No. AAC 9817299).
- [20] Sahney, Sangeeta., Karunes S., and Banwet D.K. (2001). A Framework for Measuring Quality in Professional Educational Institutions. In Sushil, K Momaya, and O.P. Sharma (Eds.), New Business Paradigm: Global, Virtual and Flexible (pp. 887-909). Thomson Learning Asia, Singapore.
- [21] Saklani, Alok., Purohit, H. C., and Badoni D. C. (2000). "Positive Disconfirmation as a Threshold to High Satisfaction," Journal of Management Research. 1 (1), 31-37.
- [22] Srinivasan, P. T., and Kotadia, Harish(1997). "The Key to Profit," Indian Management. 36 (10), 43-49.
- [23] Westbrook, Robert A. (1987). "Product/ Consumption-based AffectiveResponses and Post-Purchase Processes," Journal of MarketingResearch. 24, 258-70.
- [24] Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. Leonard., and. Parasuraman, A (1988)."Communication and Control Processes in the Delivery of ServiceQuality," Journal of Marketing. 52(April), 35-48.
- [25] Zeithaml, V.A. and Bitner, M.J. (2000). Services Marketing: IntegratingCustomer Focus Across the Firm, McGraw-Hill, New York.